

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,335	03/06/2002	Jae-Phil Cho	1567.1020	7050
21171	7590 08/18/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			KALAFUT, STEPHEN J	
	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005		1745	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/091,335	CHO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen J. Kalafut	1745				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHO THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any ro	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, moly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to becor	ray a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time of MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 J</u>	<u>lune 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application and Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) <u>41 and 46</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-40,42-45,47 and 48</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Papers	wn from consideration					
	•						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	·	d to button Francisco				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1 121(d)			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document according to the priority document according to the priority document application from the International Burea are the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received brity documents have b u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform Paper	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/091,335

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 1-40, 42-45, 47 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. As noted in the previous action, the term "conductive polymeric dispersant" is considered confusing.

Claims 41 and 46 are allowed. This claim does not recite the indefinite language noted above, and either a method of making an electrode in which a specific type of surfactant is mixed with an alcohol, and subsequently produces a coating material, or an electrode which includes a coating comprising a conductive material and a dispersant.

Claims 1-40, 42-45, 47 and 48 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. The claims as amended now require both the conductive agent and the dispersant to be present as part of the recited electrodes. This is not shown in the prior art. Only Nakagiri *et al.* disclose a conductive polymer, but are unclear as to whether it would be a dispersant.

Applicant's arguments filed 6/8/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the term "conductive polymer dispersant" would be understood by someone of ordinary skill in the art, based on the content of the particular disclosure, the teachings of the prior art, and the claim interpretation given by one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. This is not persuasive, because the person of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 10/091,335

Art Unit: 1745

the battery art would be familiar with two different kinds of conductivity: electronic and ionic. A material conductive in one way may or may not be conductive to in the other. The present materials contemplated for the "conductive polymeric dispersant" are known to have ionic conductivity, while the art also recognizes certain "conductive" polymers, which have electronic conductivity. For example, see Nakagiri *et al.*, column 5, lines 42-47. The term "conductive", used by itself, normally refers to electronic conductivity. The present claims also include a "conductive agent", such as carbon, graphite or metal, which would have electronic conductivity. Thus, applicants are attempting to use a single term, "conductive", to denote two different types of conductivity, and thus have two different meanings, in a single claim. Because the various "conductive polymer dispersants" are known as being ionically conductive, applicants may use the term "ionically conductive" to describe their polymer dispersant.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sjk

STEPHEN ALAFUT PRIMARY EXAMINER

GRUUP